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Background

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Types</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>article</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>Lexical choice of noun</td>
<td>7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>noun number</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>Lexical choice of verb</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preposition</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>pronoun</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tense</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>agreement</td>
<td>5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of errors on KJ corpus

*Spelling errors are excluded from target of annotation in KJ corpus

- A lot of previous works deal with one or a few restricted types of learners’ error preposition [Rozovskaya+], verb form [Lee+], tense [Tajiri+], spelling/article/preposition/word form [Park+]
- It was not until recently that large scale learner corpora became widely available for error correction
- Little is known about the effect of learner corpus size in ESL error correction

Main Contribution

1. the first attempt to use large scale learner corpus to correct all types error
2. show the effect of learner corpus size on the phrase-based SMT and its advantages and disadvantage

System and Corpus

- Use phrase-based SMT to conduct unrestricted error correction
- Use data from a language learning SNS Lang-8
  - Language learners post their writing on the site to be corrected by native speaker
  - Obtain pairs of learner’s and corrected sentence in large scale
  - Crawled blog entries found in Lang-8 as of December 2010
  - Used writings written by Japanese ESL learners (509,116 sentence pairs)
  - Filtered noisy sentences -> 391,699 sentence pairs

Discussion

- Classify errors into two types
  1. Get better correction by increasing corpus size (article, preposition, lexical choice)
     e.g. article
     learner: I like a chocolate very much, correct: I like chocolate very much.
  2. Have little relationship with corpus size.
     e.g. noun number
     learner: I read various type of books, correct: I read various types of books.

Summary

- Show the effect of learner corpus size on the phrase-based SMT and its advantages and disadvantage in grammatical error correction
- Increasing the size of learner corpus:
  - improvement: article, preposition, lexical choice
  - little improvement: noun number, agreement, tense

Experiment and Results

To see the effect of corpus size, we compare systems
- Using 1. Lang-8 with different size and 2. KJ (also used test data, 5-fold CV)

Evaluation metrics (F-measure, precision, recall)
- P and R for each type of errors are calculated from tp, fp, fn based on error tags
- Learner: He talked to me his life in Kyoto, and he took me Kyoto.
  Correct: He talked to me about his life in Kyoto, and he took me to Kyoto.
  System: He talked me his life on Kyoto, and he took me to Kyoto.

Red circle indicate that the difference of result using Lang-8 and KJ is statistically significant (p<0.01)

E.g. tense

learner: If I’ll live in Saitama, I must have ...
correct: If I’ll live in Saitama, I must have ...

- System fails to find tense agreement in the complex sentence

To solve, involves global context [Tajiri+ 12]

E.g. agreement

learner: Flowers is very beautiful.
correct: Flowers are very beautiful.

- Pattern is unseen
  - no way to capture the relation between subject “reading” and “are”

To solve, needs to get the subject-verb relation considering a dependency structure